

128 Dorrance Street, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02903 Phone: (401) 831-7171 Fax: (401) 831-7175 www.riaclu.org info@riaclu.org

October 19, 2022

Providence Ordinance Committee Providence City Council City Hall Providence, RI 02903

Dear Ordinance Committee Members:

The ACLU of Rhode Island wishes to express our deep concerns about the ordinance the committee is considering today to significantly limit the ability of college and university students to live together in Providence. We find it hard to believe that a city that thrives because of its college community would propose such a surprisingly disturbing anti-student ordinance, especially in light of the City's acknowledged serious housing shortage.

This ordinance would essentially ban any non-owner-occupied unit - whether single or multi-family - from housing more than three students anywhere in the city. As we noted when the current ordinance that already limits student housing was enacted, the City has numerous laws in place to address noise, parties, traffic, and other possible nuisances at rental locations. The proposed expansion of the ordinance merely punishes students for being students. More practically, it will especially harm low-income young people trying to afford to live in a city that is already facing a severe housing affordability crisis.

A proposal like this one, which restricts a landlord's use of his or her property based on an ultimately irrelevant standard, and which assumes that four students cannot live together without engaging in misconduct, only unnecessarily heightens the cleavage between the City and its large, vibrant and productive student population and is doomed to have little meaningful impact on any problem it is designed to address.

To the extent this expansion of the current ordinance seeks to prevent the inappropriate conduct that sometimes arises from the conduct of guests at parties that take place at students' residences, three students, as easily as four, can organize a party that gets out of control. As a result, this expanded ordinance is a very poor tool to deal with concerns of illegal tenant or guest conduct. On the other side, however, limiting the use of property in this way can have a significant and adverse financial impact on innocent, hard-working students by making rental housing more difficult and much more expensive for them to obtain.

We are also deeply troubled by the proposal's paternalistic requirement that colleges and universities submit procedures for being notified any time a student violates a city ordinance and for disciplining students for such off-campus conduct. As a general rule, a young adult's arrest for disorderly conduct based on their participation in a rally against police brutality, for example, should not form the basis for notifying their university, much less encouraging school disciplinary proceedings against them for their off-campus activities.

Having brought the lawsuit in which the R.I. Supreme Court upheld the city's current ordinance against a constitutional challenge, the ACLU will be analyzing the significantly increased scope of this proposal to determine if it provides any grounds for a new challenge. Whether it does or not, though, we remain hopeful that the Ordinance Committee will reject this unwarranted expansion of the current ordinance from becoming law due to its inevitably harmful and ineffective impact.

In sum, we believe that the proposal's focus on the collegiate status of renters is unfair and unlikely to resolve any of the concerns prompting it. We therefore respectfully urge the committee to defeat this proposal, and to instead have the City rely on enforcing the laws already on the books and continue its informal collaboration with school officials in addressing problems of unruly students.

We do not suggest that those approaches will completely eliminate problems that can occur in a city with a large college community. But neither will this ordinance, which when it fails to have its hoped-for impact, will likely only lead to even more draconian and ineffective efforts.

Thank you in advance for considering our views.

Sincerely,

Ateven Bow

Steven Brown Executive Director

cc: The Hon. Jorge Elorza